

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/740,513

Applicant(s)

TAKIZAWA ET AL.

Examiner

Kevin P. Kerns

Art Unit

1725

All Participants:(1) Kevin P. Kerns.(2) Beverly Hjorth, applicants' attorney.**Status of Application:** allowance after a final rejection

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 September 2003**Time:** 9:15am EDT**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.Kevin P. Kerns 9/23/03
(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner requested another copy of the terminal disclaimer (paper #8) that was originally sent by the applicants on March 4, 2002, due to a very faint original copy upon electronic image scanning by the USPTO. The examiner received a FAXed copy of the terminal disclaimer (via RightFAX) at about 3:00pm on 9/17/03, and the terminal disclaimer was subsequently approved by the USPTO.

Kevin P. Yenna
9/23/03